To: Judiciary A

By: Representative Smith (35th)

HOUSE BILL NO. 626

1	AN	ACT	TO	AMEND	SECTION	11-1-63,	MISSISSIPPI	CODE	OF	1972,	TO

- 2 PROVIDE THAT THE SELLER OF A PRODUCT WHO IS NOT THE MANUFACTURER
- 3 SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR CERTAIN MANUFACTURING DEFECTS; AND FOR
- 4 RELATED PURPOSES.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:
- 6 SECTION 1. Section 11-1-63, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
- 7 amended as follows:
- 8 11-1-63. In any action for damages caused by a product
- 9 except for commercial damage to the product itself:
- 10 (a) The manufacturer or seller of the product shall not
- 11 be liable if the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of
- 12 the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the
- 13 manufacturer or seller:
- 14 (i) 1. The product was defective because it
- 15 deviated in a material way from the manufacturer's specifications
- 16 or from otherwise identical units manufactured to the same
- 17 manufacturing specifications, or
- 18 2. The product was defective because it
- 19 failed to contain adequate warnings or instructions, or
- 3. The product was designed in a defective
- 21 manner, or
- 22 4. The product breached an express warranty
- 23 or failed to conform to other express factual representations upon
- 24 which the claimant justifiably relied in electing to use the
- 25 product; and
- 26 (ii) The defective condition rendered the product
- 27 unreasonably dangerous to the user or consumer; and

(iii) The defective and unreasonably dangerous
condition of the product proximately caused the damages for which
recovery is sought.

31 (b) A product is not defective in design or formulation
32 if the harm for which the claimant seeks to recover compensatory
33 damages was caused by an inherent characteristic of the product
34 which is a generic aspect of the product that cannot be eliminated
35 without substantially compromising the product's usefulness or
36 desirability and which is recognized by the ordinary person with
37 the ordinary knowledge common to the community.

(c) (i) In any action alleging that a product is defective because it failed to contain adequate warnings or instructions pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the manufacturer or seller shall not be liable if the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer or seller, the manufacturer or seller knew or in light of reasonably available knowledge should have known about the danger that caused the damage for which recovery is sought and that the ordinary user or consumer would not realize its dangerous condition.

(ii) An adequate product warning or instruction is one that a reasonably prudent person in the same or similar circumstances would have provided with respect to the danger and that communicates sufficient information on the dangers and safe use of the product, taking into account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to an ordinary consumer who purchases the product; or in the case of a prescription drug, medical device or other product that is intended to be used only under the supervision of a physician or other licensed professional person, taking into account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to, a physician or other licensed professional who prescribes the drug, device or other

product.

(d) In any action alleging that a product is defective

62 pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the manufacturer or

63 seller shall not be liable if the claimant (i) had knowledge of a

64 condition of the product that was inconsistent with his safety;

65 (ii) appreciated the danger in the condition; and (iii)

66 deliberately and voluntarily chose to expose himself to the danger

67 in such a manner to register assent on the continuance of the

68 dangerous condition.

(e) In any action alleging that a product is defective

70 pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the manufacturer or

71 seller shall not be liable if the danger posed by the product is

72 known or is open and obvious to the user or consumer of the

73 product, or should have been known or open and obvious to the user

74 or consumer of the product, taking into account the

75 characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to, the

76 persons who ordinarily use or consume the product.

77 (f) In any action alleging that a product is defective

78 because of its design pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)3 of this

79 section, the manufacturer or product seller shall not be liable if

80 the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of the evidence

81 that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer

82 or seller:

(i) The manufacturer or seller knew, or in light

84 of reasonably available knowledge or in the exercise of reasonable

85 care should have known, about the danger that caused the damage

86 for which recovery is sought; and

87 (ii) The product failed to function as expected

88 and there existed a feasible design alternative that would have to

89 a reasonable probability prevented the harm. A feasible design

90 alternative is a design that would have to a reasonable

91 probability prevented the harm without impairing the utility,

92 usefulness, practicality or desirability of the product to users

93 or consumers.

94 (g) (i) The manufacturer of a product who is found

95 liable for a defective product pursuant to subsection (a) shall

96 indemnify a product seller for the costs of litigation, any

97 reasonable expenses, reasonable attorney's fees and any damages

98 awarded by the trier of fact unless the seller exercised

99 substantial control over that aspect of the design, testing,

100 manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that caused the

101 harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the seller altered

102 or modified the product, and the alteration or modification was a

103 substantial factor in causing the harm for which recovery of

104 damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the

105 defective condition of the product at the time he supplied same;

106 or the seller made an express factual representation about the

aspect of the product which caused the harm for which recovery of

108 damages is sought.

109 (ii) Subparagraph (i) shall not apply unless the

110 seller has given prompt notice of the suit to the manufacturer

111 within thirty (30) days of the filing of the complaint against the

112 seller.

107

115

113 (h) The seller of a product who is not the manufacturer

114 shall not be liable for any latent defect in a product unless the

seller has knowledge of the defect or unless the defect violates

116 <u>an express warranty made by the seller.</u>

117 <u>(i)</u> Nothing in this section shall be construed to

118 eliminate any common law defense to an action for damages caused

119 by a product.

120 SECTION 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from

121 and after July 1, 1999.